arXiv:1703.03595v1 [math.CV] 10 Mar 2017

CONVOLUTION OF A HARMONIC MAPPING WITH n-STARLIKE MAPPINGS AND ITS PARTIAL SUMS

SUBZAR BEIG AND V. RAVICHANDRAN

Abstract. We investigate the univalency and the directional convexity of the convolution $\phi\tilde{*}f = \phi * h + \overline{\phi * g}$ of the harmonic mapping $f = h + \bar{g}$ with a mapping ϕ whose convolution with the mapping $z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n z^k$ is starlike (and such a mapping ϕ is called n-starlike). In addition, we investigate the directional convexity of (i) the convolution of an analytic convex mapping with the slanted half-plane mapping, and (ii) the partial sums of the convolution of a 6-starlike mapping with the harmonic Koebe mapping and the harmonic half-plane mapping.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}$ consists of all complex-valued harmonic mappings $f = h + \bar{g}$ in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$, where h and g are analytic mappings. Let $\mathcal{S}_H^0$ be the sub-class of $\mathcal{H}$ consists of all mappings f in the class $\mathcal{H}$ that are univalent, sense-preserving and normalized by the conditions $f(0) = f_{\bar{z}}(0) = f_z(0) - 1 = 0$. Let $\mathcal{K}_H^0$ and $\mathcal{S}_H^{*0}$ denote the sub-classes of $\mathcal{S}_H^0$ consisting of mappings which maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto convex and starlike domains respectively. The sub-classes $\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{S}^*$ of analytic mappings consisting of univalent, convex and starlike mappings are respectively sub-classes of $\mathcal{S}_H^0$, $\mathcal{K}_H^0$ and $\mathcal{S}_H^{*0}$. Clunie and Sheil-Small [1] constructed two important mappings, the harmonic right-half plane mapping $L \in \mathcal{K}_H^{*0}$ and the harmonic Koebe mapping $K \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$. These mappings are expected to play the role of extremal mappings respectively in classes $\mathcal{S}_H^0$ and $\mathcal{K}_H^0$ as played by the analytic right-half plane mapping and analytic Koebe mapping respectively in the classes $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{S}^*$. These mappings $K = H + \overline{G}$ and $L = M + \overline{N}$ are defined in $\mathbb{D}$ by

$$H(z) = \frac{z - z^2/2 + z^3/6}{(1-z)^3}, \quad G(z) = \frac{z^2/2 + z^3/6}{(1-z)^3}$$

and

$$M(z) = \frac{z - z^2/2}{(1-z)^2}, \quad N(z) = \frac{-z^2/2}{(1-z)^2}.$$

A domain D is said to be *convex in direction* θ $(0 \le \theta < 2\pi)$, if every line parallel to the line joining 0 and $e^{i\theta}$ lies completely inside or outside the domain D. If $\theta = 0$ (or $\pi/2$), such a domain D is called convex in the direction of real (or imaginary) axis. In this paper we study the directional convexity of the convolution of these and some other mappings with n-starlike mapping introduced by Sãlãgean [2] and their partial sums. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of all analytic mappings $f : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $f(0) = 0$, and $f'(0) = 1$. The function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has the Taylor series expansion $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$. For the function

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 31A05; Secondary: 30C45.

Key words and phrases. harmonic mappings; n-starlike mappings; Sãlãgean operator; convolution; directional convexity.

The first author is supported by a Junior Research Fellowship from UGC, New Delhi, India.

$f \in \mathcal{A}$, $n \geq 0$, Sãlãgean [2] defined the differential operator $\mathcal{D}^n : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$\mathcal{D}^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k.$$

By using this operator, Sãlãgean introduced the class of n-starlike mappings of order α $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_n(\alpha) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} f(z)}{\mathcal{D}^n f(z)} > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

Equivalently, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is n-starlike of order α if and only if the function $\mathcal{D}^n f$ is starlike of order α. Clearly $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) = \mathcal{S}_0(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\alpha) = \mathcal{S}_1(\alpha)$ are respectively the classes of starlike and convex mappings of order α introduced by Robertson [21]. Denote $\mathcal{S}_n(0)$ by $\mathcal{S}_n$ and the mappings in this class are called n-starlike mappings. Also, $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S}_0$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{S}_1$. Suppose ϕ and ψ are analytic mappings on $\mathbb{D}$ with $\phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $\psi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, their convolution $\phi * \psi$ is defined by $(\phi * \psi)(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$. Convolution of harmonic mappings $f = h + \bar{g}$ and $F = H + \overline{G}$ is defined by $f * F := h * H + \overline{g * G}$. Also, the convolution $\tilde{*}$ of harmonic mapping $f = h + \bar{g}$ with analytic mapping ϕ is defined by$f \tilde{*} \phi := h * \phi + \overline{g * \phi}$. It is well known that the convolution of two harmonic convex mappings is not necessarily convex/univalent. In [6], Dorff studied the directional convexity of harmonic mappings and proved that convolution of two right half-plane mappings is univalent and convex in the direction of real axis provided the convolution is locally univalent. Later, Dorff *et al.* [7] extended such results to slanted half-plane and strip mappings. Other recent related work in this direction can be found in [1, 2, 5, 9–11, 13–20, 25]. For analytic convex mappings ϕ, the convolution $K \tilde{*} \phi$ is not necessarily univalent. However, Nagpal and Ravichandran [8] showed that $K \tilde{*} \phi \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the direction of real axis, if ϕ is a 2-starlike mapping.

In Section 2, we prove that the convolution of certain harmonic mappings with n-starlike mappings is univalent and convex in a particular direction. In particular, for $0 \leq \alpha < \pi$, we prove that the convolution of an analytic convex mapping with the slanted half-plane mapping is univalent and convex in the direction of $\pi/2 - \alpha$. Lastly, in Section 3, we discuss the partial sums of n-starlike mappings and prove that all the partial sums of n-starlike mappings with $n \geq 4$ are $(n-4)$-starlike. By using this, we prove that all the partial sums of the convolution of 6-starlike mappings with the mappings L and K are univalent and convex in the direction of real-axis.

2. Convolution of some harmonic mappings with n-starlike mappings

We first give some convolution properties of n-starlike mappings, which will be useful throught the paper. From the defnition of $\mathcal{S}_n(\alpha)$, one can easily see that

$$f \in \mathcal{S}_n(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{n-m} f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\alpha). \tag{2.1}$$

Using this relation, we get the following result regarding the convolution of mappings in class $\mathcal{S}_n$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $n + m \geq 1$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and the function $g \in \mathcal{S}_m$, then the convolution $f * g \in \mathcal{S}_{n+m-1}$.*

Proof. Assume that $n \geq 1$. Since the function $f \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and the function $g \in \mathcal{S}_m$, by (2.1), the function $\mathcal{D}^{n-1} f \in \mathcal{K}$ and the function $\mathcal{D}^m g \in \mathcal{S}^*$. Therefore, from [22], we have

$$\mathcal{D}^{n+m-1}(f * g) = \mathcal{D}^{n-1} f * \mathcal{D}^m g \in \mathcal{S}^*.$$

Hence, by (2.1), it follows that the convolution $f * g \in \mathcal{S}_{n+m-1}$. ∎

Theorem 2.2. *[24] If the function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the inequality $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-\alpha)|a_n| \leq 1-\alpha$, then the function f is starlike of order α.*

By using (2.1) and Theorem 2.2, we get the following result.

Theorem 2.3. *[24] Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. If the function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfies the inequality $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{m-1}(n-\alpha)|a_n| \leq 1-\alpha$, then the function f is $(m-1)$-starlike of order α.*

The harmonic mappings f considered in this paper are assumed to be normalized by$f(0) = f_z(0) - 1 = f_{\bar{z}}(0) = 0$, unless otherwise specified. The following result due to Clunie and Sheil-Small is used for constructing univalent harmonic mappings convex in a given direction.

Lemma 2.4. *[3] A locally univalent harmonic mapping $f = h + \overline{g}$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is univalent and maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto a domain convex in the direction of ϕ if and only if the analytic mapping $h - \mathrm{e}^{2i\phi} g$ is univalent and maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto a domain convex in the direction of ϕ.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let the function $f = h + \bar{g}$ be harmonic and the function ϕ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. If the function $(h - e^{-2i\beta} g) * \phi$ is convex and, for some real number γ,*

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{(\phi * h)'(z)}{((\phi * h)' - e^{-2i\gamma}(\phi * g)')(z)} > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{2.2}$$

then the convolution $f \tilde{*} \phi \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the direction of $-\beta$.

Proof. Since the function $(h - e^{-2i\beta} g) * \phi$ is convex and hence convex in the direction of $-\beta$, in view of Lemma 2.4, it is enough to prove that the mapping $f \tilde{*} \phi$ is locally univalent. Clearly (2.2) shows that $(h * \phi)'(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Therefore, using (2.2), we see that the dilatation $w_{e^{i\gamma} f \tilde{*} \phi} = (e^{-i\gamma} g * \phi)' / (e^{i\gamma} h * \phi)'$ of $e^{i\gamma} f \tilde{*} \phi$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1 + w_{e^{i\gamma} f \tilde{*} \phi}(z)}{1 - w_{e^{i\gamma} f \tilde{*} \phi}(z)} &= \operatorname{Re} \frac{(e^{i\gamma} h * \phi)'(z) + (e^{-i\gamma} g * \phi)'(z)}{(e^{i\gamma} h * \phi)'(z) - (e^{-i\gamma} g * \phi)'(z)} \\ &= 2 \operatorname{Re} \frac{(\phi * h)'(z)}{((\phi * h)' - e^{-2i\gamma}(\phi * g)')(z)} - 1 > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

This shows that $|w_{e^{i\gamma} f \tilde{*} \phi}(z)| < 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, or equivalently $|w_{f \tilde{*} \phi}(z)| < 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where $w_{f \tilde{*} \phi} = (g * \phi)' / (h * \phi)'$ is dilatation of the function $f \tilde{*} \phi$. The result now follows by Lewis theorem. ∎

Theorem 2.6. *Let the harmonic function $f = h + \bar{g}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfies $h(z) - e^{-2i\gamma} g(z) = z$ for some real number γ. If the function $h * \phi \in \mathcal{S}_2$ and the function $(h - e^{-2i\beta} g) * \phi \in \mathcal{K}$ for some analytic function ϕ, then the convolution $f \tilde{*} \phi \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the direction of $-\beta$.*

Proof. Since the function $h * \phi \in \mathcal{S}_2$, we have $z(h * \phi)' \in \mathcal{K}$. Hence, from [23, Corollary 1, p.251], we get

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{(\phi * h)'(z)}{((\phi * h)' - e^{-2i\gamma}(\phi * g)')(z)} = \operatorname{Re}(h * \phi)'(z) > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Also, the function $(h - e^{-2i\beta} g) * \phi$ is convex. The result now follows from Lemma 2.5. ∎

Corollary 2.7. *If the function $\phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}_2$ satisfies the inequality $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 |a_n| \leq 1/\sqrt{2(1-\cos 2\theta)}$ for some $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$, then, for real number γ, the function $\phi + \overline{e^{2i\gamma}(\phi - z)} \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the direction $-\beta$ for all β satisfying $|\beta + \gamma| \leq \theta$.*

Proof. The harmonic mapping $f = h + \bar{g}$, with $h(z) = z/(1-z)$ and $g(z) = e^{2i\gamma}(z/(1-z) - z)$, satisfy $h(z) - e^{-2i\gamma}g(z) = z$. Also, the function $h * \phi = \phi \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Furthermore, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}(h(z) - e^{-2i\beta}g(z)) * \phi(z) &= \phi(z) - e^{-2i(\beta+\gamma)}(\phi(z) - z)\\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1 - e^{-2i(\beta+\gamma)})a_n z^n\end{aligned}$$

Now, for $|\beta + \gamma| \leq \theta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2|(1 - e^{-2i(\beta+\gamma)})a_n| &= |1 - e^{-2i(\beta+\gamma)}| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2|a_n|\\ &= \sqrt{2(1 - \cos 2(\beta+\gamma))}\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2|a_n|\\ &\leq \sqrt{2(1 - \cos 2(\beta+\gamma))}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-\cos 2\theta)}} \leq 1.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, the function $(h - e^{-2i\beta}g) * \phi$ is convex for every β such that $|\beta + \gamma| \leq \theta$. Hence, by Theorem 2.6, the function $f\tilde{*}\phi = \phi + \overline{e^{2i\gamma}(\phi - z)} \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in every direction $-\beta$ satisfying $|\beta + \gamma| \leq \theta$. ∎

Remark 2.8. For $\theta = \pi/2$ in Corollary 2.7, we see the function $\phi + \overline{e^{2i\gamma}(\phi - z)} \in \mathcal{K}_H^0$, if the function $\phi = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}_2$ and satisfies the inequality $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2|a_n| \leq 1/2$.

Remark 2.9. If the function $\phi = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ satisfies the inequality $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^3|a_n| \leq 1$, then $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2|a_n| \leq 1/2$ and, by Lemma 2.3, the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Therefore, Remark 2.8 shows that $\phi + \overline{e^{2i\gamma}(\phi - z)} \in \mathcal{K}_H^0$.

Theorem 2.10. *Let the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_2$ and the function $f = h + \bar{g}$ be a harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying $h(z) - e^{-2i\gamma}g(z) = h(z) * \log(1/(1-z))$ for some real number γ with the function $h \in \mathcal{S}^*$. Then the convolution $f\tilde{*}\phi \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the direction $-\gamma$. Furthermore, if for any β real, the function $h - e^{-2i\beta}g \in \mathcal{S}^*$, then the convolution $f\tilde{*}\phi$ is convex in the direction $-\beta$.*

Proof. Since the function $h \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and the function $\log(1/(1-z)) \in \mathcal{S}_2$, by Lemma 2.1, we have the function $h - e^{-2i\gamma}g = h * \log 1/(1-z) \in \mathcal{K}$. Hence, from [23, Corollary 1, p.251], we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\frac{h(z)}{h(z) * \log\frac{1}{1-z}} > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{2.4}$$

Note that, we can write

$$\operatorname{Re}\frac{(\phi * h)'(z)}{((\phi * h)' - e^{-2i\gamma}(\phi * g)')(z)} = \operatorname{Re}\frac{z\phi'(z) * (h(z) * \log\frac{1}{1-z})\left(\frac{h(z)}{h(z) * \log(\frac{1}{1-z})}\right)}{z\phi'(z) * (h(z) * \log\frac{1}{1-z})}, \tag{2.5}$$

where the function $z\phi' \in \mathcal{K}$ and the function $h * \log 1/(1-z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$. Therefore, in view of (2.4), (2.5) and [8, Theorem 2.4, p.54], it follows that

$$\operatorname{Re}\frac{(\phi * h)'(z)}{((\phi * h)' - e^{-2i\gamma}(\phi * g)')(z)} > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{2.6}$$

As the function $h \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_2$, Lemma 2.1 gives that the function $(h - e^{-2i\gamma}g) * \phi = h * \log(1/(1-z)) * \phi \in \mathcal{K}$. Similarly, the function $(h - e^{-2i\beta}g) * \phi \in \mathcal{K}$. Therefore, in view of (2.6), the result follows by Lemma 2.5. ∎

Corollary 2.11. *Let the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_2$ and the function $f = h+\bar{g}$ be a harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying $h(z) - g(z) = h(z) * \log(1/(1-z))$. Then, we have the following.*

1 *If the function $h \in \mathcal{S}^*$, then the convolution $f\tilde{*}\phi \in \mathcal{S}^0_H$ and is convex in the direction of real axis.*
2 *If, for some θ $(0 \le \theta < \pi)$, the function $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^*$ satisfies the inequality $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n|\sqrt{2n(n-1)(1-\cos 2\theta)+1} \le 1$, then the convolution $f\tilde{*}\phi \in \mathcal{S}^0_H$ and is convex in every direction $-\beta$ such that $|\beta| \le \theta$.*
3 *If, for some θ $(0 \le \theta < \pi)$ such that $\cos 2\theta \le 1/4$, the function $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ satisfies the inequality $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n|\sqrt{2n(n-1)(1-\cos 2\theta)+1} \le 1$, then the convolution $f\tilde{*}\phi \in \mathcal{S}^0_H$ and is convex in every direction $-\beta$ such $|\beta| \le \theta$*

Proof. (1) is obvious from Theorem 2.10. For (2) and (3), in view of Theorem 2.10, it is enough to prove that the function $h - e^{-2i\beta} g \in \mathcal{S}^*$ for every β such that $|\beta| \le \theta$ and $h \in \mathcal{S}^*$. If $\cos 2\theta \le 1/4$, then the inequality $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n|\sqrt{2n(n-1)(1-\cos 2\theta)+1} \le 1$ implies the inequality $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \le 1$. Hence, by Theorem 2.2, the function $h \in \mathcal{S}^*$. Also, for β real, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) - e^{-2i\beta} g(z) &= h(z) - e^{-2i\beta}(h(z) - h(z) * \log(1/1-z)) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n (1 - e^{-2i\beta}(n-1)/n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in view of (2) and (3), we see, for $|\beta| \le \theta$, that the function $h - e^{-2i\beta} g$ satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n(1 - e^{-2i\beta}(n-1/n)| &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n|\sqrt{2n(n-1)(1-\cos 2\beta)+1} \\ &\le \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n|\sqrt{2n(n-1)(1-\cos 2\theta)+1} \le 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.2, the function $h - e^{-2i\beta} g \in \mathcal{S}^*$. ∎

Remark 2.12. Taking $\theta = \pi/2$ in Corollary 2.11, we see that, if the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_2$ and the function $h(z) = z + \sum_2^{\infty} a_n z^n$ satisfies the inequality $\sum_2^{\infty} (2n-1)|a_n| \le 1$, then the convolution $f\tilde{*}\phi \in \mathcal{K}^0_H$, where the function $f = h + \bar{g}$ and the function $g(z) = h(z) - h(z) * \log(1/(1-z))$.

Example 2.13. Let the harmonic function $f = h+\bar{g}$ be given by the function $h(z) = z/(1-z)^2$ and the function $g(z) = z/(1-z)^2 - z/(1-z) = z/(1-z)^2 - z/(1-z)^2 * \log(1/(1-z))$. Now, taking the function $\phi(z) = \log(1/(1-z))$ in Theorem 2.10, we get the convolution $f(z) * \log(1/(1-z)) = z/(1-z) + \overline{z/(1-z) - \log(1/(1-z))} \in \mathcal{S}^0_H$ and is convex in the direction of real axis.

Example 2.14. Let the harmonic function $f = h+\bar{g}$ be given by the function $h(z) = z+z^2/3$ and the function $g(z) = z^2/6$ and let the function $\phi(z) = \log(1/(1-z))$. Then, the functions f and ϕ satisfy the conditions in Remark 2.12, and hence the convolution $(f * \phi)(z) = z + z^2/6 + \overline{z^2/12} \in \mathcal{K}^0_H$.

We denote the convolution $f * f * \cdots * f$ (n-times) by $(f)^n_*$. A simple calculation shows that, for $|\alpha| = 1$ and $|z| < 1$,

$$\left(\frac{z}{(1-z\alpha)^2}\right)^n_* * \left(\alpha \log \frac{1}{1-z/\alpha}\right)^n_* = \frac{z}{1-z} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since the function $z/(1-z)$ is convolution identity, the inverse under convolution of the function $\left(\frac{z}{(1-z\alpha)^2}\right)_*^n$ is the function $\left(\alpha \log \frac{1}{1-z/\alpha}\right)_*^n$. For the function f, we write the inverse of $(f)_*^n$ by $(f)_*^{-n}$.

Theorem 2.15. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|\alpha| = |\gamma| = 1$ and $0 \leq \beta < 2\pi$. Let the function $f = h + \bar{g}$ be a harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying*

$$h(z) - e^{-2i\beta} g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-\alpha z)^2} * \left(\frac{z}{(1-\gamma z)^2}\right)_*^{n-2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and let the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_n$. If the function $(h - e^{-2i\delta} g) * \phi \in \mathcal{K}$ for some real number δ and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{(1-\alpha z)^2}{z}\left(h(z) * \left(\gamma \log \frac{1}{1-z/\gamma}\right)_*^{n-2}\right)\right\} > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{2.7}$$

then the convolution $f \tilde{*} \phi \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the direction of $-\delta$.

Proof. We have

$$(h(z) - e^{-2i\beta} g(z)) * \phi = \frac{z}{(1-\alpha z)^2} * \left(\frac{z}{(1-\gamma z)^2}\right)_*^{n-2} * \phi,$$

where the functions $z/(1-\alpha z)^2$, $z/(1-\gamma z)^2 \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Therefore, by repeated application of Theorem 2.1, we see that the function $(h - e^{-2i\beta} g) * \phi \in \mathcal{K}$. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(\phi * h)'(z)}{\left((\phi * h)' - e^{-2i\beta}(\phi * g)'\right)(z)} \\
&= \frac{z\phi'(z) * \left\{h(z) * \left(\frac{z}{(1-\gamma z)^2}\right)_*^{n-2} * \left(\gamma \log \frac{1}{1-z/\gamma}\right)_*^{n-2}\right\}}{z\phi'(z) * (h - e^{-2i\beta} g)(z)} \\
&= \frac{z\phi'(z) * \left(\frac{z}{(1-\gamma z)^2}\right)_*^{n-2} * \frac{z}{(1-\alpha z)^2}\left\{\frac{(1-\alpha z)^2}{z}\left(h(z) * \left(\gamma \log \frac{1}{1-z/\gamma}\right)_*^{n-2}\right)\right\}}{z\phi'(z) * \left(\frac{z}{(1-\gamma z)^2}\right)_*^{n-2} * \frac{z}{(1-\alpha z)^2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Since the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and the function $z/(1-\gamma z)^2 \in \mathcal{S}^*$, from Lemma 2.1, we have

$$z\phi'(z) * \left(\frac{z}{(1-\gamma z)^2}\right)_*^{n-2} \in \mathcal{K}. \tag{2.9}$$

Since the function $z/(1-\alpha z)^2 \in \mathcal{S}^*$, in view of (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9), it follows, by [8, Theorem 2.4, p.54], that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{(\phi * h)'(z)}{\left((\phi * h)' - e^{-2i\beta}(\phi * g)'\right)(z)} > 1/2, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The result now follows from Lemma 2.5. ∎

Remark 2.16. Take $n = 2$ in Theorem 2.15. Let $|\alpha| = 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 2\pi$. Let the harmonic mapping $f = h + \bar{g}$ satisfy

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{(1-\alpha z)^2}{z} h(z) > \frac{1}{2}, \quad h(z) - e^{-2i\beta} g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-\alpha z)^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{2.10}$$

and the function $(h - e^{-2i\delta}g) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ for some real number δ. If the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_2$, then the convolution $f\tilde{*}\phi \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the direction $-\delta$, and in particular in the direction $-\beta$.

Remark 2.17. Take $n = \gamma = 1$ in Theorem 2.15 and notice that

$$\frac{z}{(1-\alpha z)^2} * \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}\right)_*^{-1} = \frac{z}{(1-\alpha z)^2} * \log\frac{1}{1-z} = \frac{z}{(1-\alpha z)},$$

and

$$\frac{(1-\alpha z)^2}{z}\left(h(z) * \left(\log\frac{1}{1-z}\right)_*^{-1}\right) = \frac{(1-\alpha z)^2}{z}\left(h(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}\right) = \frac{(1-\alpha z)^2}{z}\mathcal{D}h(z).$$

Let $|\alpha| = 1$, $0 \le \beta < 2\pi$. Let the harmonic mapping $f = h + \bar{g}$ satisfy

$$h(z) - e^{-2i\beta}g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-\alpha z)}, \quad \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{(1-\alpha z)^2}{z}\mathcal{D}h(z)\right\} > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{2.11}$$

and the function $h - e^{-2i\delta}g \in \mathcal{K}$ for some real number δ. If the function $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$, then the convolution $f\tilde{*}\phi \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the direction $-\delta$, and in particular in the direction $-\beta$.

Remark 2.18. Take $n = 3$, $\gamma = 1$ in Theorem 2.15. First notice that

$$\frac{z}{(1-\alpha z)^2} * \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} = \frac{z + \alpha z^2}{(1-\alpha z)^3}.$$

Let $|\alpha| = 1$, $0 \le \beta < 2\pi$. Let the harmonic mapping $f = h + \bar{g}$ satisfy

$$h(z) - e^{-i\beta}g(z) = \frac{z + \alpha z^2}{(1-\alpha z)^3}, \quad \operatorname{Re}\frac{(1-\alpha z)^2}{z}\left\{h(z) * \log\frac{1}{1-z}\right\} > 1/2, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

If the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_3$, then the convolution $f\tilde{*}\phi \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the the direction $-\beta$.

Taking $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$ in Remark 2.16, we get the following result.

Corollary 2.19. *[8] Let the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_2$ and the function $f = h + \bar{g}$ be a harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying $h(z) - g(z) = z/(1-z)^2$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. If*

$$\operatorname{Re}\frac{(1-z)^2}{z}h(z) > 1/2, \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D},$$

then the convolution $f\tilde{*}\phi \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the the direction of real axis.

Next, we give two examples of non-univalent convolution products.

Example 2.20. For $a \ge -1$ $(a \ne 0)$, consider the harmonic mapping $f_a = h + \bar{g}$ given by $h(z) = (1 + z/a)l(z)$ and $g(z) = zl(z)/a$, where $l(z) = z/(1-z)$ is analytic right-half plane mapping. Then, for the function $\phi(z) = z + z^2/2 \in \mathcal{S}^*$, we have

$$(f_a\tilde{*}\phi)(z) = z + \frac{1+a}{2a}z^2 + \frac{1}{2a}\bar{z}^2.$$

Its Jacobian $J_{f_a\tilde{*}\phi}$, given by

$$J_{f_a\tilde{*}\phi}(z) = |(h*\phi)'(z)|^2 - |(g*\phi)'(z)|^2 = 1 + \frac{2+a}{a}|z|^2 + 2\frac{1+a}{a}\operatorname{Re} z,$$

vanishes at $z = -a/(a+2) \in \mathbb{D}$.

Example 2.21. For $0 < b < 1/2$, consider the harmonic mapping $F_b = h + \bar{g}$ given by

$$h(z) = \frac{z + (1+2b)z^2}{(1-z)^3} \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \frac{2bz^2}{(1-z)^3}.$$

Then, for the function $\phi(z) = z + z^2/8 \in \mathcal{S}_2$, the Jacobian $J_{F_b \tilde{*} \phi}$ of the convolution $F_b \tilde{*} \phi$, given by

$$J_{F_b \tilde{*} \phi}(z) = 1 + (1+b)|z|^2 + (2+b)\operatorname{Re} z,$$

vanishes at $z = -1/(1+b) \in \mathbb{D}$.

Examples 2.20-2.21 show that if the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$, then respectively the convolutions $f_a \tilde{*} \phi$ and $F_b \tilde{*} \phi$ need not be univalent, where the functions f_a and F_b are respectively given in the Examples 2.20-2.21. However, the results are true respectively for the function $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{S}_3$. In fact we have the following the results.

Corollary 2.22. *For $a \geq 6$, let the function $f_a = h + \bar{g}$ be the harmonic mapping given in Example 2.20. If the function $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$, then the convolution $f_a \tilde{*} \phi \in \mathcal{S}_H$ and is convex in the direction of real axis.*

Proof. We have $h(z) - g(z) = l(z) = z/(1-z)$. Also, for $a \geq 6$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\left\{ \frac{(1-z)^2}{z} \mathcal{D}h(z) \right\} &= \operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1-z)^2 h'(z) \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\left\{ 1 + \frac{z}{a}(2-z) \right\} > 1/2 \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}. \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 2.17, the result follows. ∎

Corollary 2.23. *Let the function $F_b = h + \bar{g}$ be the harmonic mapping given in Example 2.21. If $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_3$, then $F_b \tilde{*} \phi \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the the direction of real axis.*

Proof. From the definition of F_b, we have

$$h(z) - g(z) = \frac{z + z^2}{(1-z)^3},$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{z + bz^2}{(1-z)^2} \right) = \frac{z + (1+2b)z^2}{(1-z)^3} = h(z).$$

Therefore, for $|b| \leq 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\left\{ \frac{(1-z)^2}{z} \left(h(z) * \log \frac{1}{(1-z)} \right) \right\} &= \operatorname{Re}\left\{ \frac{(1-z)^2}{z} \left(\mathcal{D}\frac{z+bz^2}{(1-z)^2} * \log \frac{1}{(1-z)} \right) \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\left\{ \frac{(1-z)^2}{z} \frac{z+bz^2}{(1-z)^2} \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(1+bz) > 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from Remark 2.18. ∎

For $0 \leq \alpha < 2\pi$, let $\mathcal{S}^0(H_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}_H^0$ denote the class of all harmonic mappings that maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto H_α, where

$$H_\alpha := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\alpha} z) > -\frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

In [7], it is shown that if $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}^0(H_\alpha)$, then

$$h(z) + e^{-2i\alpha} g(z) = \frac{z}{1 - e^{i\alpha} z}. \tag{2.12}$$

Using this result, we check the convexity of the convolution $f\tilde{*}\phi$, where the function $f \in \mathcal{S}^0(H_\alpha)$ and the function $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$. Before this, we give an example of a mapping in class H_α.

Example 2.24. In [3], it is shown that the harmonic right half-plane mapping $L = M + \overline{N}$, where

$$M(z) = \frac{z - z^2/2}{(1-z)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad N(z) = -\frac{z^2/2}{(1-z)^2},$$

maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto the right-half plane $\{w : \operatorname{Re} w > -1/2\}$. Consider the mapping $f_\alpha = h_\alpha + \bar{g}_\alpha$, where

$$h_\alpha(z) = \frac{z - e^{i\alpha}z^2/2}{(1-e^{i\alpha}z)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad g_\alpha(z) = -\frac{e^{3i\alpha}z^2/2}{(1-e^{i\alpha}z)^2}.$$

Now, for $w = e^{i\alpha}z$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\alpha}f_\alpha(z) = e^{i\alpha}h_\alpha(z) + \overline{e^{-i\alpha}g_\alpha(z)} &= \frac{ze^{i\alpha} - e^{2i\alpha}z^2/2}{(1-e^{i\alpha}z)^2} + \overline{\frac{-e^{2i\alpha}z^2/2}{(1-e^{i\alpha}z)^2}} \\ &= \frac{w - w^2/2}{(1-w)^2} + \overline{\frac{-w^2/2}{(1-w)^2}} = L(w). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the function $e^{i\alpha}f_\alpha$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto the right-half plane $\{w' : \operatorname{Re} w' > -1/2\}$. Hence the function $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^0(H_\alpha)$.

Example 2.25. Consider the function $\phi = z + z^2/2 \in \mathcal{S}^*$. Then, for the harmonic mapping f_α given in Example 2.24, the convolution $f_\alpha\tilde{*}\phi$ is given by

$$f_\alpha\tilde{*}\phi(z) = (h_\alpha * \phi)(z) + \overline{(g_\alpha * \phi)(z)} = z + \frac{3}{4}e^{i\alpha}z^2 - \frac{1}{2}\overline{e^{3i\alpha}z^2}.$$

Its Jacobian $J_{f_\alpha\tilde{*}\phi}$, given by

$$J_{f_\alpha\tilde{*}\phi}(z) = |(h_\alpha * \phi)'(z)|^2 - |(g_\alpha * \phi)'(z)|^2 = 1 + 2|z|^2 + 3\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\alpha}z),$$

vanishes at $z = -e^{-i\alpha}/2 \in \mathbb{D}$.

Example 2.25 shows that for the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}^*$, the convolution $f_\alpha\tilde{*}\phi$ is not univalent, where f_α is given in Example 2.24. However, the result is true for the function $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$. In fact we have the following strong result.

Theorem 2.26. *Let the harmonic mapping $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}^0(H_\alpha)$. If the function $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$, then the convolution $f\tilde{*}\phi \in \mathcal{S}^0_H$ and is convex in the direction $\pi/2 - \alpha$.*

Proof. Since the harmonic mapping $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}^0(H_\alpha)$, from equation (2.12), we have

$$h(z) + e^{-2i\alpha}g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-e^{i\alpha}z)} \tag{2.13}$$

or

$$h(z) - e^{-2i(\alpha-\pi/2)}g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-e^{i\alpha}z)}. \tag{2.14}$$

Upon differentiating (2.13) and writing the dilatation g'/h' of f by ω, we get

$$h'(z) = \frac{1}{(1-e^{i\alpha}z)^2(1+e^{-2i\alpha}\omega(z))}.$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{Re}\frac{(1-e^{i\alpha}z)^2}{z}\mathcal{D}h(z) = \operatorname{Re}\frac{1}{1+e^{-2i\alpha}\omega(z)} > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{2.15}$$

Using (2.14) and (2.15) in Remark 2.17, we see that the convolution $f\tilde{*}\phi \in \mathcal{S}^0_H$ and is convex in the direction $\pi/2 - \alpha$. ∎

In the next result, we show that the convolution of the harmonic mapping $f_\alpha = h_\alpha + \overline{g_\alpha}$, given in Example 2.24, with the mappings in class $\mathcal{S}_2$ is convex in two perpendicular directions.

Theorem 2.27. *Let the function $f_\alpha = h_\alpha + \overline{g_\alpha}$ be the harmonic mapping defined in Example 2.24. If the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_2$, then the convolution $f_\alpha * \phi \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the directions $-\alpha$ and $\pi/2 - \alpha$.*

Proof. From Example 2.24, we have

$$h_\alpha(z) - e^{-2i\alpha} g_\alpha(z) = \frac{z}{(1 - e^{i\alpha} z)^2}, \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ \frac{(1 - e^{i\alpha} z)^2}{z} h_\alpha(z) \right\} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\alpha} z) > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{2.17}$$

Also, the function $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^0(H_\alpha)$. Therefore, (2.12) gives

$$h(z) - e^{-2i(\alpha - \pi/2)} g(z) = \frac{z}{1 - e^{i\alpha} z}. \tag{2.18}$$

Since the functions $z/(1 - e^{i\alpha} z)^2$ and $z/(1 - e^{i\alpha} z)$ are starlike, in view of (2.16), (2.17), (2.18) and Remark 2.16, the result follows. ∎

3. Partial sums of functions in class $\mathcal{S}_n$ and their convolution with harmonic mappings

For m, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the *nth* partial sum of the function $f_m(z) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} z^l/l^m$ by $f_{m,n}(z) := \sum_{l=1}^{n} z^l/l^m$. We now investigate the starlikeness nature of these partial sums.

Lemma 3.1. *For m, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the partial sum $f_{m,n}(z) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} z^l/l^m$ of the function $f_m(z) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} z^l/l^m$ satisfies the following:*

1. $f_{1,2}(z) = z + \frac{z^2}{2} \in \mathcal{S}^*$;
2. $f_{2,3}(z) = z + \frac{z^2}{2^2} + \frac{z^3}{3^2} \in \mathcal{S}^*$;
3. $f_{3,n}(z) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} z^l/l^3 \in \mathcal{S}^*$, *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (1) and (2) follows from Theorem 2.2. For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let $a_l = 1/l^3$ for $1 \le l \le n$ and $a_l = 0$ for all $l > n$. Then, we have

$$\sum_{l=2}^{\infty} l|a_l| < -1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = -1 + \frac{\pi^2}{6} < 1.$$

Therefore, (3) follows from Theorem 2.2. ∎

Using $\mathcal{D}^m f_{m+l,n} = f_{l,n}$, Lemma 3.1 and equation (2.1) gives the following:

Lemma 3.2. *The partial sums satisfies:*

1. $f_{m,2}(z) = z + \frac{z^2}{2^m} \in \mathcal{S}_{m-1}$, *if* $m \ge 1$;
2. $f_{m,3}(z) = z + \frac{z^2}{2^m} + \frac{z^3}{3^m} \in \mathcal{S}_{m-2}$, *if* $m \ge 2$;
3. $f_{m,n}(z) = z + \frac{z^2}{2^m} + \frac{z^3}{3^m} + \cdots + \frac{z^n}{n^m} \in \mathcal{S}_{m-3}$, *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *if* $m \ge 3$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let ϕ_p denotes the pth partial sum of the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_m$. Then, we have the following:*

1. $\phi_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{m-2}$, *if* $m \ge 2$;
2. $\phi_3 \in \mathcal{S}_{m-3}$, *if* $m \ge 3$;
3. $\phi_p \in \mathcal{S}_{m-4}$, *for all* $p \in \mathbb{N}$, *if* $m \ge 4$.

Proof. Let $\phi(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \ldots$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then we can write ϕ_p as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_p(z) &= z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \cdots + a_pz^p \\ &= \left(z + 2^n a_2z^2 + 3^n a_3z^3 + \ldots\right) * \left(z + \frac{z^2}{2^n} + \frac{z^3}{3^n} + \cdots + \frac{z^p}{p^n}\right) \\ &= \mathcal{D}^n\phi(z) * f_{n,p}(z).\end{aligned}$$

Since the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_m$, (2.1) shows that the function $\mathcal{D}^n\phi \in \mathcal{S}_{m-n}$. Therefore, by using Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 2.1, we get the result. ∎

Let the function $f = h + \bar{g}$ be a harmonic mapping, where

$$h(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k.$$

We define the *nth* partial sum of f by

$$f_n(z) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k z^k + \overline{\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k z^k}.$$

Therefore, we can write $f_n = f \tilde{*} l_n$, where $l_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} z^k$ is the *nth* partial sum of right-half plane mapping $l(z) = z/(1-z)$.

Theorem 3.4. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let the function $f = h + \bar{g}$ be a harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ with*

$$h(z) - g(z) = \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}\right)_*^{n-1} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{(1-z)^2}{z} \left\{ h(z) * \left(\log \frac{1}{1-z}\right)_*^{n-2} \right\} > 1/2 \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{3.2}$$

Also, let the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_m$. Then, for the partial sum $(f \tilde{*} \phi)_p$ of the convolution $f \tilde{*} \phi$, we have the following:

1. *If $m \geq n+2$, then $(f \tilde{*} \phi)_2 \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the the direction of real axis;*
2. *If $m \geq n+3$, then $(f \tilde{*} \phi)_2$, $(f \tilde{*} \phi)_3 \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and are convex in the the direction of real axis;*
3. *If $m \geq n+4$, then $(f \tilde{*} \phi)_p \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the the direction of real axis for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. We know that $(f \tilde{*} \phi)_p = (f \tilde{*} \phi) \tilde{*} l_p = f \tilde{*} (\phi * l_p) = f \tilde{*} \phi_p$, where ϕ_p is the *pth* partial sum of the function ϕ. Therefore, in order to apply Theorem 2.15, we need ϕ_p to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_n$, which follows from Lemma 3.3. The result now follows by Theorem 2.15. ∎

Corollary 3.5. *For $a \geq 6$, let the function $f_a = h + \bar{g}$ be the harmonic mapping given in Example 2.20. Then, we have the following:*

(1 *If the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_3$, then $(f_a \tilde{*} \phi)_2 \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the direction of real axis;*
(2 *If the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_4$, then $(f_a \tilde{*} \phi)_2$, $(f_a \tilde{*} \phi)_3 \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and are convex in the direction of real axis;*
(3 *If the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_5$, then $(f_a \tilde{*} \phi)_p \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and is convex in the direction of real axis for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. We have $h(z) - g(z) = l(z) = z/(1-z)$. Also, for $a \geq 6$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Re}\frac{(1-z)^2}{z}\left(h(z) * \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}\right)\right) &= \operatorname{Re}(1-z)^2 h'(z) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \frac{z}{a}(2-z)\right) > \frac{1}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the mapping f_a satisfies (3.1) and (3.2) with $n = 1$. Hence, the result follows from the Theorem 3.4. ∎

Corollary 3.6. *For the harmonic Koebe mapping $K = H + \overline{G}$ and the harmonic half-plane mapping $L = M + \overline{N}$, we have the following:*

(1 *If the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_4$, then $(K\tilde{*}\phi)_2$, $(L\tilde{*}\phi)_2 \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and are convex in the direction of real axis;*
(2 *If the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_5$, then $(K\tilde{*}\phi)_2$, $(K\tilde{*}\phi)_3$, $(L\tilde{*}\phi)_2$, $(L\tilde{*}\phi)_3 \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and are convex in the direction of real axis;*
(3 *If the function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_6$, then $(K\tilde{*}\phi)_p$, $(L\tilde{*}\phi)_p \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and are convex in the direction of real axis for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. The harmonic Koebe mapping $K(z) = H(z) + \overline{G(z)}$ is given by

$$H(z) = \frac{z - z^2/2 + z^3/6}{(1-z)^3} \quad \text{and} \quad G(z) = \frac{z^2/2 + z^3/6}{(1-z)^3}.$$

Therefore,

$$H(z) - G(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\frac{(1-z)^2}{z}H(z) = \operatorname{Re}\frac{1 - z/2 + z^2/6}{1-z} = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{2/3}{1-z} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{z}{6}\right) > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Also, the half plane mapping $L(z) = M(z) + \overline{N(z)}$ is given by

$$M(z) = \frac{z - z^2/2}{(1-z)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad N(z) = \frac{-z^2/2}{(1-z)^3}.$$

Then,

$$M(z) - N(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re}\frac{(1-z)^2}{z}M(z) = \operatorname{Re}\left(1 - \frac{z}{2}\right) > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, the functions, K and L satisfies (3.1) and (3.2) with $n = 2$. Hence, the result follows from the Theorem 3.4. ∎

Example 3.7. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we can easily see that the function

$$f_m(z) := z + \frac{z^2}{2^m} + \frac{z^3}{3^m} + \cdots \in \mathcal{S}_{m+1}.$$

Therefore, by Corollary 3.6, the following functions

(1) $(K\tilde{*}f_3)_2(z) = z + \frac{5}{16}z^2 + \frac{1}{16}\bar{z}^2$;
(2) $(K\tilde{*}f_4)_3(z) = z + \frac{5}{32}z^2 + \frac{14}{243}z^3 + \frac{1}{32}\bar{z}^2 + \frac{5}{243}\bar{z}^3$;
(3) $(K\tilde{*}f_5)_4(z) = z + \frac{5}{64}z^2 + \frac{14}{729}z^3 + \frac{15}{2048}z^4 + \frac{1}{64}\bar{z}^2 + \frac{5}{729}\bar{z}^3 + \frac{7}{2048}\bar{z}^4$

belongs to $\mathcal{S}_H^0$ and are convex in the direction of real axis.

Corollary 3.8. *For $|b| \leq 1/2$, let the function $F_b = h + \bar{g}$ be the harmonic mapping given in Example 2.21. Then, we have the following:*

(1 *If the function* $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_5$, *then* $(F_b \tilde{*} \phi)_2 \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ *and is convex in the direction of real axis.*
(2 *If the function* $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_6$, *then* $(F_b \tilde{*} \phi)_2$, $(F_b \tilde{*} \phi)_3 \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ *and are convex in the direction of real axis.*
(3 *If the function* $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_7$, *then* $(F_b \tilde{*} \phi)_p \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ *and are convex in the direction of real axis for all* $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We have

$$h(z) - g(z) = \frac{z + z^2}{(1-z)^3} = \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \right)_*^2,$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{z + bz^2}{(1-z)^2} \right) = \frac{z + (1+2b)z^2}{(1-z)^3} = h(z).$$

Using above equation, we get, for $|b| \leq 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \frac{(1-z)^2}{z} \left(h(z) * \log \frac{1}{(1-z)} \right) &= \operatorname{Re} \frac{(1-z)^2}{z} \left(\mathcal{D} \frac{z + bz^2}{(1-z)^2} * \log \frac{1}{(1-z)} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \frac{(1-z)^2}{z} \frac{z + bz^2}{(1-z)^2} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} (1 + bz) > 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the function F_b satisfies (3.1) and (3.2) with $n = 3$. Hence, the result follows from the Theorem 3.4. ∎

Theorem 3.9. *For the mapping* $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}^0(H_\alpha)$, *we have the following:*
(1 *If the function* $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_3$, *then* $(f \tilde{*} \phi)_2 \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ *and is convex in the direction* $(\pi/2 - \alpha)$.
(2 *If the function* $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_4$, *then* $(f \tilde{*} \phi)_2$, $(f \tilde{*} \phi)_3 \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ *and are convex in the direction* $(\pi/2 - \alpha)$.
(3 *If the function* $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_5$, *then* $(f \tilde{*} \phi)_p \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ *and are convex in the direction* $(\pi/2 - \alpha)$ *for all* $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Since $(f \tilde{*} \phi)_p = f \tilde{*} \phi_p$, where ϕ_p is the *pth* partial sum of the function ϕ, therefore, in order to apply Theorem 2.26, we need the function ϕ_p to be in the class $\mathcal{K}$, which follows from Lemma 3.3. The result now follows from Theorem 2.26. ∎

Theorem 3.10. *For the harmonic mapping* f_α *defined in the Example 2.24, we have the following:*
(1 *If the function* $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_4$, *then* $(f_\alpha \tilde{*} \phi)_2 \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ *and is convex in the directions* $-\alpha$ *and* $(\pi/2 - \alpha)$.
(2 *If the function* $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_5$, *then* $(f_\alpha \tilde{*} \phi)_2$, $(f_\alpha \tilde{*} \phi)_3 \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ *and are convex in the directions* $-\alpha$ *and* $(\pi/2 - \alpha)$.
(3 *If the function* $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_6$, *then* $(f_\alpha \tilde{*} \phi)_p \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ *and is convex in the directions* $-\alpha$ *and* $(\pi/2 - \alpha)$ *for all* $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Since $(f_\alpha \tilde{*} \phi)_p = f_\alpha \tilde{*} \phi_p$, where ϕ_p is the *pth* partial sum of the function ϕ, therefore, in order to apply Theorem 2.27, we need the function ϕ_p to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_2$, which follows from Lemma 3.3. The results now follows from Theorem 2.27. ∎

References

[1] O. P. Ahuja, S. Nagpal, and V. Ravichandran, A technique of constructing planar harmonic mappings and their properties, Kodai Math. J. accepted.
[2] Z. Boyd, M.Dorff, M. Nowak, M. Romney, and M. Wooszkiewicz, Univalency of convolutions of harmonic mappings, Appl. Math. Comput. **234** (2014), 326–332.

[3] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, Harmonic univalent functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **9** (1984), 3–25.
[4] G. Ş. Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, in *Complex Analysis—Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar, Part 1 (Bucharest, 1981)*, 362–372.
[5] M. Dorff, Harmonic univalent mappings onto asymmetric vertical strips, in *Computational Methods and Function Theory 1997 (Nicosia)*, 171–175, Ser. Approx. Decompos., 11, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ.
[6] M. Dorff, Convolutions of planar harmonic convex mappings, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **45** (2001), no. 3, 263–271.
[7] M. Dorff, M. Nowak and M. Wołoszkiewicz, Convolutions of harmonic convex mappings, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **57** (2012), no. 5, 489–503.
[8] S. Nagpal and V. Ravichandran, Convolution properties of the harmonic Koebe function and its connection with 2-starlike mappings, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **60** (2015), no. 2, 191–210.
[9] S. Nagpal and V. Ravichandran, Univalence and convexity in one direction of the convolution of harmonic mappings, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **59** (2014), no. 9, 1328–1341.
[10] S. Nagpal and V. Ravichandran, Construction of subclasses of univalent harmonic mappings, J. Korean Math. Soc. **51** (2014), no. 3, 567–592.
[11] S. Nagpal and V. Ravichandran, A subclass of close-to-convex harmonic mappings, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **59** (2014), no. 2, 204–216.
[12] S. Nagpal and V. Ravichandran, Fully starlike and fully convex harmonic mappings of order α, Ann. Polon. Math. **108** (2013), no. 1, 85–107.
[13] R. Kumar, M. Dorff, S. Gupta and S. Singh, Convolution properties of some harmonic mappings in the right half-plane, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **39** (2016), no. 1, 439–455.
[14] R. Kumar, S. Gupta, S. Singh and M. Dorff, On harmonic convolutions involving a vertical strip mapping, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **52** (2015), no. 1, 105–123.
[15] R. Kumar, S. Gupta, S. Singh and M. Dorff, An application of Cohn's rule to convolutions of univalent harmonic mappings, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **46** (2016), no. 2, 559–570.
[16] R. Kumar, S. Gupta and S. Singh, Linear combinations of univalent harmonic mappings convex in the direction of the imaginary axis, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **39** (2016), no. 2, 751–763.
[17] R. Kumar, S. Gupta and S. Singh, Convolution properties of a slanted right half-plane mapping, Mat. Vesnik **65** (2013), no. 2, 213–221.
[18] Y.-P. Jiang, A. Rasila and Y. Sun, A note on convexity of convolutions of harmonic mappings, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **52** (2015), no. 6, 1925–1935.
[19] L. Li and S. Ponnusamy, Convolutions of harmonic mappings convex in one direction, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **9** (2015), no. 1, 183–199.
[20] Y. Li and Z. Liu, Convolutions of harmonic right half-plane mappings, Open Math. **14** (2016), 789–800.
[21] M. I. S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, Ann. of Math. (2) **37** (1936), no. 2, 374–408.
[22] S. Ruscheweyh, *Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory*, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, 83, Presses Univ. Montréal, Montreal, QC, 1982.
[23] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, GTM 259, Springer, New York, 1983.
[24] H. Silverman, Univalent Functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **51**(1975), 109–116.
[25] Z.-G. Wang, Z.-H. Liu and Y.-C. Li, On convolutions of harmonic univalent mappings convex in the direction of the real axis, J. Appl. Anal. Comput. **6** (2016), no. 1, 145–155.

Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi–110 007, India
E-mail address: beighsubzar@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi–110 007, India
E-mail address: vravi68@gmail.com